COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 3187-12

Bill No.: HCS for SS for SCS for SB 605

Subject: Counties Type: Original

Date: April 26, 2010

Bill Summary: Would change several provisions related to political subdivisions.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 3187-07

Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 605

Page 2 of 5 April 26, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 20121	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 3187-07

Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 605

Page 3 of 5 April 26, 2010

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the State Auditor**, the **Department of Revenue**, and **St. Louis County** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning** (BAP) assume this proposal would not result in additional costs or savings to their organization. BAP officials stated that the proposed language would have no impact on general and total state revenues unless Department of Revenue collection fees were impacted. BAP officials deferred to Department of Revenue officials for an estimate of any such impact.

Officials from the **State Tax Commission** (TAX) assumed a previous version of this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

TAX officials also stated that in 1997, state law was changed to specify that all real property which was designed for human habitation would be classified as residential property; the exception to this classification was that hotels and motels would continue to be classified for assessment purposes as commercial real property.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) stated in response to a previous version of this proposal that many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the Governor.

Officials from **Office of State Courts Administrator** assumed a previous version of this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

L.R. No. 3187-07

Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 605

Page 4 of 5 April 26, 2010

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Officials from **Cass County** assumed a previous version of this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Officials from **Clinton County** assumed a similar proposal in the previous session (HB 902, LR 2022-01, 2009) would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

Oversight assumes this proposal would have no fiscal impact to the state or to local governments.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 3187-07 Bill No. Perfected SS for SCS for SB 605 Page 5 of 5 April 26, 2010

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Auditor
Office of Administration
Division of Budget and Planning
Department of Revenue
State Tax Commission
St. Louis County
Cass County

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director April 26, 2010